

Quantum Theory from First Principles

Lecture 2

John van de Wetering
john@vdwetering.name
<http://vdwetering.name>

Institute for Computing and Information Sciences
Radboud University Nijmegen

L'Agape Summer School
July 2020

Previously

- ▶ We asked the question of how quantum theory can be derived from first principles.
- ▶ We covered many classic results: Wigner, Stone, Gleason, Jordan-von Neumann, Gelfand-Naimark, Piron, Sòler.
- ▶ We saw that the main question is deriving the structure of observables.

Today

- ▶ Ordered vector spaces
- ▶ The modern 'operational approach'.
- ▶ Generalised probabilistic theories (GPTs).
- ▶ Composite systems and local tomography.

Ordered vector spaces

Definition

A real vector space V is *ordered* when it has a partial order \leq satisfying

- ▶ $v \leq v'$ implies $v + w \leq v' + w$ for all $w \in V$.
- ▶ $v \leq v'$ implies $\lambda v \leq \lambda v'$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Ordered vector spaces

Definition

A real vector space V is *ordered* when it has a partial order \leq satisfying

- ▶ $v \leq v'$ implies $v + w \leq v' + w$ for all $w \in V$.
- ▶ $v \leq v'$ implies $\lambda v \leq \lambda v'$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Note: the order is completely determined by the *positive cone* $V^+ := \{v \in V ; v \geq 0\}$ via $v \leq w \iff w - v \geq 0$.

Ordered vector spaces examples

Example

Recall $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ positive when $\langle v, Av \rangle \geq 0$ for all $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

$B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ is an ordered vector space with $A \leq B$ iff $B - A$ is positive (if $\langle v, Av \rangle \leq \langle v, Bv \rangle$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$).

Ordered vector spaces examples

Example

Recall $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ positive when $\langle v, Av \rangle \geq 0$ for all $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

$B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ is an ordered vector space with $A \leq B$ iff $B - A$ is positive (if $\langle v, Av \rangle \leq \langle v, Bv \rangle$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$).

Example

For a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} set $a \geq 0$ when $a = bb^*$ for some $b \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then \mathfrak{A}_{sa} is an ordered vector space.

Example

For a formally real Jordan algebra E set $a \geq 0$ when $a = b^2$ for some $b \in E$. Then E is an ordered vector space.

Ordered vector spaces examples

Example

Recall $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ positive when $\langle v, Av \rangle \geq 0$ for all $v \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

$B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ is an ordered vector space with $A \leq B$ iff $B - A$ is positive (if $\langle v, Av \rangle \leq \langle v, Bv \rangle$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$).

Example

For a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} set $a \geq 0$ when $a = bb^*$ for some $b \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then \mathfrak{A}_{sa} is an ordered vector space.

Example

For a formally real Jordan algebra E set $a \geq 0$ when $a = b^2$ for some $b \in E$. Then E is an ordered vector space.

In particular, for $E = S_n = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ a spin factor, we have $(v, t) \geq 0$ iff $t^2 \geq \langle v, v \rangle$.

Order isomorphism and homogeneity

Definition

Let V and W be ordered vector spaces. An *order isomorphism* is a linear bijection $\Phi : V \rightarrow W$ satisfying $\Phi(v) \leq \Phi(w) \iff v \leq w$.

Order isomorphism and homogeneity

Definition

Let V and W be ordered vector spaces. An *order isomorphism* is a linear bijection $\Phi : V \rightarrow W$ satisfying $\Phi(v) \leq \Phi(w) \iff v \leq w$. Suppose V has some chosen topology, and let C be the interior of its positive cone. V is *homogeneous* when for each $v, w \in C$ there is an order isomorphism $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\Phi(v) = w$.

Order isomorphism and homogeneity

Definition

Let V and W be ordered vector spaces. An *order isomorphism* is a linear bijection $\Phi : V \rightarrow W$ satisfying $\Phi(v) \leq \Phi(w) \iff v \leq w$. Suppose V has some chosen topology, and let C be the interior of its positive cone. V is *homogeneous* when for each $v, w \in C$ there is an order isomorphism $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\Phi(v) = w$.

Example

$B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ is homogeneous (wrt operator norm topology):

Order isomorphism and homogeneity

Definition

Let V and W be ordered vector spaces. An *order isomorphism* is a linear bijection $\Phi : V \rightarrow W$ satisfying $\Phi(v) \leq \Phi(w) \iff v \leq w$. Suppose V has some chosen topology, and let C be the interior of its positive cone. V is *homogeneous* when for each $v, w \in C$ there is an order isomorphism $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\Phi(v) = w$.

Example

$B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ is homogeneous (wrt operator norm topology):

$A \in B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ is in the interior positive cone iff $A \geq \epsilon 1$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and thus A is invertible. For such A and B set

$$\Phi_{A,B}(C) = \sqrt{B}\sqrt{A^{-1}}C\sqrt{A^{-1}}\sqrt{B}.$$

Order isomorphism and homogeneity

Definition

Let V and W be ordered vector spaces. An *order isomorphism* is a linear bijection $\Phi : V \rightarrow W$ satisfying $\Phi(v) \leq \Phi(w) \iff v \leq w$. Suppose V has some chosen topology, and let C be the interior of its positive cone. V is *homogeneous* when for each $v, w \in C$ there is an order isomorphism $\Phi : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\Phi(v) = w$.

Example

$B(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$ is homogeneous (wrt operator norm topology):

$A \in B(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$ is in the interior positive cone iff $A \geq \epsilon 1$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and thus A is invertible. For such A and B set

$$\Phi_{A,B}(C) = \sqrt{B}\sqrt{A^{-1}}C\sqrt{A^{-1}}\sqrt{B}.$$

(Similar constructions works for C^* -algebras and formally real Jordan algebras)

Self-duality

Definition

Let V be an ordered v.s. with positive cone C and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Its *dual cone* is $C^* := \{v \in V ; \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \geq 0\}$.

Self-duality

Definition

Let V be an ordered v.s. with positive cone C and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Its *dual cone* is $C^* := \{v \in V ; \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \geq 0\}$.

V is *self-dual* when $C = C^*$,

i.e. when $v \geq 0$ iff $\langle v, w \rangle \geq 0$ for all $w \geq 0$.

Self-duality

Definition

Let V be an ordered v.s. with positive cone C and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Its *dual cone* is $C^* := \{v \in V ; \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \geq 0\}$. V is *self-dual* when $C = C^*$,
i.e. when $v \geq 0$ iff $\langle v, w \rangle \geq 0$ for all $w \geq 0$.

Example

$B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ for \mathcal{H} fin.dim. has an inner product given by $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB)$. It is self-dual wrt this inner product.

Self-duality

Definition

Let V be an ordered v.s. with positive cone C and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Its *dual cone* is $C^* := \{v \in V ; \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \geq 0\}$. V is *self-dual* when $C = C^*$,
i.e. when $v \geq 0$ iff $\langle v, w \rangle \geq 0$ for all $w \geq 0$.

Example

$B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ for \mathcal{H} fin.dim. has an inner product given by $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB)$. It is self-dual wrt this inner product.

Definition

A real Jordan algebra is *Euclidean* when it is fin.dim. and has an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ s.t. $\langle a * b, c \rangle = \langle b, a * c \rangle$.

Self-duality

Definition

Let V be an ordered v.s. with positive cone C and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Its *dual cone* is $C^* := \{v \in V ; \langle v, w \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } w \geq 0\}$. V is *self-dual* when $C = C^*$,
i.e. when $v \geq 0$ iff $\langle v, w \rangle \geq 0$ for all $w \geq 0$.

Example

$B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$ for \mathcal{H} fin.dim. has an inner product given by $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB)$. It is self-dual wrt this inner product.

Definition

A real Jordan algebra is *Euclidean* when it is fin.dim. and has an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ s.t. $\langle a * b, c \rangle = \langle b, a * c \rangle$.

Proposition

Let E be a fin.dim. real Jordan algebra. Then E is formally real iff E is Euclidean. Furthermore, E is self-dual.

From ordered vector spaces to Jordan algebras

Koecher-Vinberg theorem, 1957

Let V be a fin.dim. self-dual homogeneous ordered v.s.

Then V is order-isomorphic to a Euclidean Jordan algebra.

From ordered vector spaces to Jordan algebras

Koecher-Vinberg theorem, 1957

Let V be a fin.dim. self-dual homogeneous ordered v.s.
Then V is order-isomorphic to a Euclidean Jordan algebra.

Recall that simple EJAs are either $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ with $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}\}$ or are spin-factors, so this brings us tantalizingly close to quantum theory.

Order units

Definition

Let V be an ordered vector space. An *order unit* is $u \in V$ such that for each $v \in V$ we have $-nu \leq v \leq nu$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We write $[0, u]_V := \{v \in V ; 0 \leq v \leq u\}$ for the *unit interval*.

Order units

Definition

Let V be an ordered vector space. An *order unit* is $u \in V$ such that for each $v \in V$ we have $-nu \leq v \leq nu$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We write $[0, u]_V := \{v \in V ; 0 \leq v \leq u\}$ for the *unit interval*.

Examples

The identity is an order unit of $B(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$.

If a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} is unital, then the unit is an order unit of \mathfrak{A}_{sa} .

Similar for EJA.

Order units

Definition

Let V be an ordered vector space. An *order unit* is $u \in V$ such that for each $v \in V$ we have $-nu \leq v \leq nu$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We write $[0, u]_V := \{v \in V ; 0 \leq v \leq u\}$ for the *unit interval*.

Examples

The identity is an order unit of $B(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$.

If a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} is unital, then the unit is an order unit of \mathfrak{A}_{sa} .

Similar for EJA.

Definition

An order unit u is *Archimedean* when $nv \leq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies $v \leq 0$.

An ordered vector space with an Archimedean order unit is called an *order unit space* (OUS).

Order units

Definition

Let V be an ordered vector space. An *order unit* is $u \in V$ such that for each $v \in V$ we have $-nu \leq v \leq nu$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We write $[0, u]_V := \{v \in V ; 0 \leq v \leq u\}$ for the *unit interval*.

Examples

The identity is an order unit of $B(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}$.

If a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} is unital, then the unit is an order unit of \mathfrak{A}_{sa} .

Similar for EJA.

Definition

An order unit u is *Archimedean* when $nv \leq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies $v \leq 0$.

An ordered vector space with an Archimedean order unit is called an *order unit space* (OUS).

All examples above are order unit spaces.

Order units cont.

Non-example

Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ be equipped with lexicographic order: $(a, b) \geq 0$ iff $a > 0$ or $a = 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Then $(1, 0)$ is an order unit, but $(1, 0) \geq n(0, 1)$ so is not Archimedean.

Order units cont.

Non-example

Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ be equipped with lexicographic order: $(a, b) \geq 0$ iff $a > 0$ or $a = 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Then $(1, 0)$ is an order unit, but $(1, 0) \geq n(0, 1)$ so is not Archimedean.

Definition

For order unit u on V we define the *order unit semi-norm*
 $\|v\|_u := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} ; -\lambda u \leq v \leq \lambda u\}$.

Order units cont.

Non-example

Let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ be equipped with lexicographic order: $(a, b) \geq 0$ iff $a > 0$ or $a = 0$ and $b \geq 0$. Then $(1, 0)$ is an order unit, but $(1, 0) \geq n(0, 1)$ so is not Archimedean.

Definition

For order unit u on V we define the *order unit semi-norm*
 $\|v\|_u := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} ; -\lambda u \leq v \leq \lambda u\}$.

Proposition

An order unit u is Archimedean if and only if $\|\cdot\|_u$ is a proper norm and the positive cone is closed in its topology.

States on ordered vector spaces

Definition

A *state* on an ordered vector space V with order unit u is a positive linear map $\omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\omega(u) = 1$.

Denote space of states by $\text{St}(V)$.

States on ordered vector spaces

Definition

A *state* on an ordered vector space V with order unit u is a positive linear map $\omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\omega(u) = 1$.

Denote space of states by $\text{St}(V)$.

NOTE: We will write 1 for the chosen Archimedean order unit from now on, so that $\omega(1) = 1$.

States on ordered vector spaces

Definition

A *state* on an ordered vector space V with order unit u is a positive linear map $\omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\omega(u) = 1$.

Denote space of states by $\text{St}(V)$.

NOTE: We will write 1 for the chosen Archimedean order unit from now on, so that $\omega(1) = 1$.

Example

For $(B(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}, 1)$ with \mathcal{H} finite-dimensional, each state $\omega : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to a density operator ρ via $\omega(a) = \text{tr}(a\rho)$.

States on ordered vector spaces

Definition

A *state* on an ordered vector space V with order unit u is a positive linear map $\omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\omega(u) = 1$.

Denote space of states by $\text{St}(V)$.

NOTE: We will write 1 for the chosen Archimedean order unit from now on, so that $\omega(1) = 1$.

Example

For $(B(\mathcal{H})_{\text{sa}}, 1)$ with \mathcal{H} finite-dimensional, each state $\omega : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to a density operator ρ via $\omega(a) = \text{tr}(a\rho)$.

Similar results hold for all self-dual OUSes.

States on ordered vector spaces

Definition

A *state* on an ordered vector space V with order unit u is a positive linear map $\omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\omega(u) = 1$.

Denote space of states by $\text{St}(V)$.

NOTE: We will write 1 for the chosen Archimedean order unit from now on, so that $\omega(1) = 1$.

Example

For $(B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}, 1)$ with \mathcal{H} finite-dimensional, each state $\omega : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to a density operator ρ via $\omega(a) = \text{tr}(a\rho)$.

Similar results hold for all self-dual OUSes.

Proposition

An ordered vector space V with order unit u is an OUS if and only if the states *order-separate* the points:

$\omega(a) \leq \omega(b)$ for all $\omega \in \text{St}(V)$ iff $a \leq b$.

Order unit algebras

Example

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and define

$$C(X) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; f \text{ continuous}\}.$$

Then $C(X)$ is an OUS with $f \geq 0$ iff $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x ;
the order unit is the constant function $1(x) = 1$.

Order unit algebras

Example

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and define

$$C(X) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; f \text{ continuous}\}.$$

Then $C(X)$ is an OUS with $f \geq 0$ iff $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x ;

the order unit is the constant function $1(x) = 1$.

$C(X)$ is also an algebra with $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$.

Note: $f, g \geq 0 \implies f \cdot g \geq 0$.

Order unit algebras

Example

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and define

$$C(X) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; f \text{ continuous}\}.$$

Then $C(X)$ is an OUS with $f \geq 0$ iff $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x ;

the order unit is the constant function $1(x) = 1$.

$C(X)$ is also an algebra with $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$.

Note: $f, g \geq 0 \implies f \cdot g \geq 0$.

Kadison's representation theorem, 1951

Let V be an OUS with a bilinear operation $\cdot : V \times V \rightarrow V$ such that $v \cdot w \geq 0$ whenever $v, w \geq 0$.

Then there exists a compact Hausdorff space X and an order and algebra embedding $\Phi : V \rightarrow C(X)$ s.t. $\Phi(V)$ is dense in $C(X)$.

Order unit algebras

Example

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and define

$$C(X) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; f \text{ continuous}\}.$$

Then $C(X)$ is an OUS with $f \geq 0$ iff $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x ;
the order unit is the constant function $1(x) = 1$.

$C(X)$ is also an algebra with $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$.

Note: $f, g \geq 0 \implies f \cdot g \geq 0$.

Kadison's representation theorem, 1951

Let V be an OUS with a bilinear operation $\cdot : V \times V \rightarrow V$ such that $v \cdot w \geq 0$ whenever $v, w \geq 0$.

Then there exists a compact Hausdorff space X and a order and algebra embedding $\Phi : V \rightarrow C(X)$ s.t. $\Phi(V)$ is dense in $C(X)$.

Corollary

The only fin.dim. OUSes with positivity preserving bilinear operation are \mathbb{R}^n with pointwise order and product.

Enough abstract vector spaces,
let's get operational!

Operational viewpoint

A quantity or concept is operational when it corresponds to something measurable or observable (in a lab).

Operational viewpoint

A quantity or concept is operational when it corresponds to something measurable or observable (in a lab).

- ▶ In relativity: clocks, rods, events, observers, but a priori not the invariant interval.

Operational viewpoint

A quantity or concept is operational when it corresponds to something measurable or observable (in a lab).

- ▶ In relativity: clocks, rods, events, observers, but a priori not the invariant interval.
- ▶ Entropy is a priori an abstract quantity, but via Shannon information theory can be given an operational interpretation.

Operational viewpoint

A quantity or concept is operational when it corresponds to something measurable or observable (in a lab).

- ▶ In relativity: clocks, rods, events, observers, but a priori not the invariant interval.
- ▶ Entropy is a priori an abstract quantity, but via Shannon information theory can be given an operational interpretation.
- ▶ Measurement probabilities are operational: 'prepare this state, apply this transformation, do this measurement, then record the probability of observing a certain outcome'.

GPTs/OPTs

Most modern (2000-2020) reconstructions of quantum theory use the framework of *generalized probabilistic theories* (GPTs), also called *operational probabilistic theories* (OPTs).

GPTs/OPTs

Most modern (2000-2020) reconstructions of quantum theory use the framework of *generalized probabilistic theories* (GPTs), also called *operational probabilistic theories* (OPTs).

- ▶ We have a collection of types of physical systems A, B, C, \dots

GPTs/OPTs

Most modern (2000-2020) reconstructions of quantum theory use the framework of *generalized probabilistic theories* (GPTs), also called *operational probabilistic theories* (OPTs).

- ▶ We have a collection of types of physical systems A, B, C, \dots
- ▶ Each system can be prepared in different ways leading to different *states* of the system $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.

GPTs/OPTs

Most modern (2000-2020) reconstructions of quantum theory use the framework of *generalized probabilistic theories* (GPTs), also called *operational probabilistic theories* (OPTs).

- ▶ We have a collection of types of physical systems A, B, C, \dots
- ▶ Each system can be prepared in different ways leading to different *states* of the system $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ Systems can be transformed into one another using *transformations* $T : A \rightarrow B$.

These transform states: $T(\omega) \in \text{St}(B)$.

GPTs/OPTs

Most modern (2000-2020) reconstructions of quantum theory use the framework of *generalized probabilistic theories* (GPTs), also called *operational probabilistic theories* (OPTs).

- ▶ We have a collection of types of physical systems A, B, C, \dots
- ▶ Each system can be prepared in different ways leading to different *states* of the system $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ Systems can be transformed into one another using *transformations* $T : A \rightarrow B$.
These transform states: $T(\omega) \in \text{St}(B)$.
- ▶ A measurement of a system is represented by a collection of *effects* $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \text{Eff}(A)$.

GPTs/OPTs

Most modern (2000-2020) reconstructions of quantum theory use the framework of *generalized probabilistic theories* (GPTs), also called *operational probabilistic theories* (OPTs).

- ▶ We have a collection of types of physical systems A, B, C, \dots
- ▶ Each system can be prepared in different ways leading to different *states* of the system $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ Systems can be transformed into one another using *transformations* $T : A \rightarrow B$.
These transform states: $T(\omega) \in \text{St}(B)$.
- ▶ A measurement of a system is represented by a collection of *effects* $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in \text{Eff}(A)$.
- ▶ The probability that the outcome associated to a_j is observed when system is in state ω is denoted by $\omega(a_j) \in [0, 1]$, and we have $\sum_j \omega(a_j) = 1$.

Convex structure

- ▶ Given states $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \text{St}(A)$, decide with probability p to prepare ω_1 and otherwise prepare ω_2 .

Convex structure

- ▶ Given states $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \text{St}(A)$, decide with probability p to prepare ω_1 and otherwise prepare ω_2 .
- ▶ Denote this state by $p\omega_1 + (1 - p)\omega_2$.
- ▶ This makes $\text{St}(A)$ a convex set.

Convex structure

- ▶ Given states $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \text{St}(A)$, decide with probability p to prepare ω_1 and otherwise prepare ω_2 .
- ▶ Denote this state by $p\omega_1 + (1 - p)\omega_2$.
- ▶ This makes $\text{St}(A)$ a convex set.
- ▶ We have $(p\omega_1 + (1 - p)\omega_2)(a) = p\omega_1(a) + (1 - p)\omega_2(a)$.

Convex structure

- ▶ Given states $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \text{St}(A)$, decide with probability p to prepare ω_1 and otherwise prepare ω_2 .
- ▶ Denote this state by $p\omega_1 + (1 - p)\omega_2$.
- ▶ This makes $\text{St}(A)$ a convex set.
- ▶ We have $(p\omega_1 + (1 - p)\omega_2)(a) = p\omega_1(a) + (1 - p)\omega_2(a)$.
- ▶ Similarly define $pa_1 + (1 - p)a_2$ for effects $a_1, a_2 \in \text{Eff}(A)$. This makes $\text{Eff}(A)$ a convex set.
- ▶ We have $\omega(pa_1 + (1 - p)a_2) = p\omega(a_1) + (1 - p)\omega(a_2)$.

Operational equivalence

- Suppose $\omega, \omega' \in \text{St}(A)$ are states such that $\omega(a) = \omega'(a)$ for all $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$.

Operational equivalence

- ▶ Suppose $\omega, \omega' \in \text{St}(A)$ are states such that $\omega(a) = \omega'(a)$ for all $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$.
- ▶ Then ω and ω' are *operationally indistinguishable*.
- ▶ We hence set $\omega = \omega'$.

Operational equivalence

- ▶ Suppose $\omega, \omega' \in \text{St}(A)$ are states such that $\omega(a) = \omega'(a)$ for all $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$.
- ▶ Then ω and ω' are *operationally indistinguishable*.
- ▶ We hence set $\omega = \omega'$.
- ▶ Similarly if $\omega(a) = \omega(b)$ for all $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$ then we require $a = b$.

Summary of GPT framework

- ▶ For each system A we have convex sets $\text{St}(A)$ and $\text{Eff}(A)$, and a function $P : \text{St}(A) \times \text{Eff}(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:

Summary of GPT framework

- ▶ For each system A we have convex sets $\text{St}(A)$ and $\text{Eff}(A)$, and a function $P : \text{St}(A) \times \text{Eff}(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:
- ▶ P is 'bi-affine':
$$P(p\omega_1 + (1 - p)\omega_2, a) = pP(\omega_1, a) + (1 - p)P(\omega_2, a)$$
 and
$$P(\omega, pa_1 + (1 - p)a_2) = pP(\omega, a_1) + (1 - p)P(\omega, a_2).$$

Summary of GPT framework

- ▶ For each system A we have convex sets $\text{St}(A)$ and $\text{Eff}(A)$, and a function $P : \text{St}(A) \times \text{Eff}(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:
- ▶ P is 'bi-affine':
$$P(p\omega_1 + (1 - p)\omega_2, a) = pP(\omega_1, a) + (1 - p)P(\omega_2, a)$$
 and
$$P(\omega, pa_1 + (1 - p)a_2) = pP(\omega, a_1) + (1 - p)P(\omega, a_2).$$
- ▶ P is 'determining': for $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ there is some $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$ such that $P(\omega_1, a) \neq P(\omega_2, a)$ and for $a_1 \neq a_2$ there is $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$ such that $P(\omega, a_1) \neq P(\omega, a_2)$.

Summary of GPT framework

- ▶ For each system A we have convex sets $\text{St}(A)$ and $\text{Eff}(A)$, and a function $P : \text{St}(A) \times \text{Eff}(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:
- ▶ P is 'bi-affine':
$$P(p\omega_1 + (1 - p)\omega_2, a) = pP(\omega_1, a) + (1 - p)P(\omega_2, a)$$
 and
$$P(\omega, pa_1 + (1 - p)a_2) = pP(\omega, a_1) + (1 - p)P(\omega, a_2).$$
- ▶ P is 'determining': for $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ there is some $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$ such that $P(\omega_1, a) \neq P(\omega_2, a)$ and for $a_1 \neq a_2$ there is $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$ such that $P(\omega, a_1) \neq P(\omega, a_2)$.
- ▶ Transformations $f : A \rightarrow B$ are affine maps $f : \text{St}(A) \rightarrow \text{St}(B)$ or equivalently, affine maps $f : \text{Eff}(B) \rightarrow \text{Eff}(A)$.

Example: Quantum theory as GPT

- ▶ For a system A we have a fin. dim. complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_A .
- ▶ $\text{Eff}(A) = [0, 1]_{B(\mathcal{H}_A)}$.
- ▶ $\text{St}(A) = \text{DO}(B(\mathcal{H}_A))$.
- ▶ $P(\rho, a) = \text{tr}(\rho a)$.
- ▶ Transformations correspond to positive trace-preserving maps $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_B)$.

Example: Quantum theory as GPT

- ▶ For a system A we have a fin. dim. complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_A .
- ▶ $\text{Eff}(A) = [0, 1]_{B(\mathcal{H}_A)}$.
- ▶ $\text{St}(A) = \text{DO}(B(\mathcal{H}_A))$.
- ▶ $P(\rho, a) = \text{tr}(\rho a)$.
- ▶ Transformations correspond to positive trace-preserving maps $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_B)$.

Generalised Gleason's theorem

For every affine $\omega : [0, 1]_{B(\mathcal{H}_A)} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\omega(0) = 0, \omega(1) = 1$, there is a unique density operator ρ such that $\omega(a) = \text{tr}(\rho a)$.

Example: Classical theory as GPT

- ▶ Systems correspond to natural numbers n .
- ▶ $\text{Eff}(n) = [0, 1]^n$.
- ▶ $\text{St}(n) = \Delta^n := \{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n ; \sum_i p_i = 1\}$.
- ▶ $P(\omega, a) = \sum_i \omega_i a_i$.
- ▶ Transformations are affine maps $f : \Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^m$.

Relation to ordered vector spaces

- ▶ For system A define its *associated vector space* V_A as the space of formal linear combinations $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i$ where $a_i \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, modulo equality among all states:

$$\sum_i \lambda_i a_i \sim \sum_j \mu_j a'_j \iff \sum_i \lambda_i \omega(a_i) = \sum_j \mu_j \omega(a'_j) \text{ for all } \omega \in \text{St}(A)$$

Relation to ordered vector spaces

- ▶ For system A define its *associated vector space* V_A as the space of formal linear combinations $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i$ where $a_i \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, modulo equality among all states:

$$\sum_i \lambda_i a_i \sim \sum_j \mu_j a'_j \iff \sum_i \lambda_i \omega(a_i) = \sum_j \mu_j \omega(a'_j) \text{ for all } \omega \in \text{St}(A)$$

- ▶ V_A is ordered by $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i \leq \sum_j \mu_j a'_j$ iff $\sum_i \lambda_i \omega(a_i) \leq \sum_j \mu_j \omega(a'_j)$ for all $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.

Relation to ordered vector spaces

- ▶ For system A define its *associated vector space* V_A as the space of formal linear combinations $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i$ where $a_i \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, modulo equality among all states:

$$\sum_i \lambda_i a_i \sim \sum_j \mu_j a'_j \iff \sum_i \lambda_i \omega(a_i) = \sum_j \mu_j \omega(a'_j) \text{ for all } \omega \in \text{St}(A)$$

- ▶ V_A is ordered by $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i \leq \sum_j \mu_j a'_j$ iff $\sum_i \lambda_i \omega(a_i) \leq \sum_j \mu_j \omega(a'_j)$ for all $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ $\text{Eff}(A)$ embeds as a convex subset in V_A . Allows us to define $a + b$ for $a, b \in \text{Eff}(A)$.
- ▶ $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$ give linear positive maps $\omega : V_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Coarse graining and causality

Definition

A measurement $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ is a *coarse graining* of a measurement $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \text{Eff}(A)$ if there exists a partition S_1, \dots, S_k of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $b_i = \sum_{j \in S_i} a_j$ for all i .

Coarse graining and causality

Definition

A measurement $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ is a *coarse graining* of a measurement $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \text{Eff}(A)$ if there exists a partition S_1, \dots, S_k of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $b_i = \sum_{j \in S_i} a_j$ for all i .

- ▶ Recall we have $\sum_i \omega(a_i) = 1$ for all measurements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and states $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.

Coarse graining and causality

Definition

A measurement $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ is a *coarse graining* of a measurement $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \text{Eff}(A)$ if there exists a partition S_1, \dots, S_k of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $b_i = \sum_{j \in S_i} a_j$ for all i .

- ▶ Recall we have $\sum_i \omega(a_i) = 1$ for all measurements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and states $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ Hence, by operational equivalence exists unique coarse-grained *deterministic* effect $1 = \sum_i a_i$ with $\omega(1) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.

Coarse graining and causality

Definition

A measurement $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ is a *coarse graining* of a measurement $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \text{Eff}(A)$ if there exists a partition S_1, \dots, S_k of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $b_i = \sum_{j \in S_i} a_j$ for all i .

- ▶ Recall we have $\sum_i \omega(a_i) = 1$ for all measurements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and states $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ Hence, by operational equivalence exists unique coarse-grained *deterministic* effect $1 = \sum_i a_i$ with $\omega(1) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ This is order unit on V_A .
- ▶ As $\text{St}(A) \subseteq \text{St}(V_A)$ determines order on V_A , V_A is order unit space.

Coarse graining and causality

Definition

A measurement $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ is a *coarse graining* of a measurement $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \text{Eff}(A)$ if there exists a partition S_1, \dots, S_k of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $b_i = \sum_{j \in S_i} a_j$ for all i .

- ▶ Recall we have $\sum_i \omega(a_i) = 1$ for all measurements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and states $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ Hence, by operational equivalence exists unique coarse-grained *deterministic* effect $1 = \sum_i a_i$ with $\omega(1) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \text{St}(A)$.
- ▶ This is order unit on V_A .
- ▶ As $\text{St}(A) \subseteq \text{St}(V_A)$ determines order on V_A , V_A is order unit space.

NOTE: Uniqueness of deterministic effect is related to causality.

Improved GPT framework

- ▶ For each system A we have order unit space V_A .
- ▶ Effects are convex subsets $\text{Eff}(A) \subseteq [0, 1]_{V_A}$ containing 0 and 1.
- ▶ States are order-separating convex subset $\text{St}(A) \subseteq \text{St}(V_A)$
($\text{St}(V_A) := \{\omega : V_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; \omega(1) = 1, \forall a \geq 0 : \omega(a) \geq 0\}$)
- ▶ Transformations $T : A \rightarrow B$ are positive unital maps
 $T : V_B \rightarrow V_A$.

Improved GPT framework

- ▶ For each system A we have order unit space V_A .
- ▶ Effects are convex subsets $\text{Eff}(A) \subseteq [0, 1]_{V_A}$ containing 0 and 1.
- ▶ States are order-separating convex subset $\text{St}(A) \subseteq \text{St}(V_A)$
($\text{St}(V_A) := \{\omega : V_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; \omega(1) = 1, \forall a \geq 0 : \omega(a) \geq 0\}$)
- ▶ Transformations $T : A \rightarrow B$ are positive unital maps
 $T : V_B \rightarrow V_A$.

No-restriction hypothesis

All mathematically definable effects and states are physically realisable.

$$\text{Eff}(A) = [0, 1]_{V_A}$$

$$\text{St}(A) = \text{St}(V_A).$$

Finite tomography

Definition

A system satisfies *finite tomography* when each state is characterised by a finite number of different measurements.

$$\exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Eff}(A) \forall \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \text{St}(A) : \omega_1(a_i) = \omega_2(a_i) \iff \omega_1 = \omega_2$$

Proposition

A system A satisfies finite tomography iff V_A is finite dimensional.

We call $\dim V_A$ the *tomographic dimension* of A .

Composite systems

- ▶ Given two separate systems A and B , we can see them as parts of a bigger system $A \otimes B$ (also denoted as just AB).

Composite systems

- ▶ Given two separate systems A and B , we can see them as parts of a bigger system $A \otimes B$ (also denoted as just AB).
- ▶ Given $\omega_A \in \text{St}(A)$ and $\omega_B \in \text{St}(B)$ we get $\omega_A \otimes \omega_B \in \text{St}(A \otimes B)$.

Composite systems

- ▶ Given two separate systems A and B , we can see them as parts of a bigger system $A \otimes B$ (also denoted as just AB).
- ▶ Given $\omega_A \in \text{St}(A)$ and $\omega_B \in \text{St}(B)$ we get $\omega_A \otimes \omega_B \in \text{St}(A \otimes B)$.
- ▶ We have
$$(p\omega_1 + (1-p)\omega_2) \otimes \omega_B = p(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_B) + (1-p)(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_B).$$

Composite systems

- ▶ Given two separate systems A and B , we can see them as parts of a bigger system $A \otimes B$ (also denoted as just AB).
- ▶ Given $\omega_A \in \text{St}(A)$ and $\omega_B \in \text{St}(B)$ we get $\omega_A \otimes \omega_B \in \text{St}(A \otimes B)$.
- ▶ We have
$$(p\omega_1 + (1-p)\omega_2) \otimes \omega_B = p(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_B) + (1-p)(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_B).$$
- ▶ Similarly, for $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$, $b \in \text{Eff}(B)$ we get $a \otimes b \in \text{Eff}(A \otimes B)$.

Composite systems

- ▶ Given two separate systems A and B , we can see them as parts of a bigger system $A \otimes B$ (also denoted as just AB).
- ▶ Given $\omega_A \in \text{St}(A)$ and $\omega_B \in \text{St}(B)$ we get $\omega_A \otimes \omega_B \in \text{St}(A \otimes B)$.
- ▶ We have $(p\omega_1 + (1-p)\omega_2) \otimes \omega_B = p(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_B) + (1-p)(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_B)$.
- ▶ Similarly, for $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$, $b \in \text{Eff}(B)$ we get $a \otimes b \in \text{Eff}(A \otimes B)$.

Composite systems

For each pair of systems A and B there is a system C such that there is a bilinear map $\otimes : V_A \times V_B \rightarrow V_C$, such that $1_A \otimes 1_B = 1_C$ and $a \otimes b \geq 0$ when $a \geq 0$, and $b \geq 0$.

Local tomography

Definition

A GPT has *local tomography* when local measurements determine states: Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \text{St}(A \otimes B)$ with $\omega_1(a \otimes b) = \omega_2(a \otimes b)$ for all $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and $b \in \text{Eff}(B)$. Then $\omega_1 = \omega_2$.

Local tomography

Definition

A GPT has *local tomography* when local measurements determine states: Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \text{St}(A \otimes B)$ with $\omega_1(a \otimes b) = \omega_2(a \otimes b)$ for all $a \in \text{Eff}(A)$ and $b \in \text{Eff}(B)$. Then $\omega_1 = \omega_2$.

Proposition

A GPT with finite tomography has local tomography when $\dim V_{A \otimes B} = \dim V_A \dim V_B$.

Example

Quantum theory and classical theory both satisfy local tomography.

Non-Example

Real quantum theory, where we replace complex Hilbert spaces by real ones does *not* have local tomography.

Example: Jordan algebras as GPT

- ▶ The V_A 's are Euclidean Jordan algebras.
- ▶ States and effects defined by no-restriction hypothesis.
- ▶ Transformations are positive unital $f : V_B \rightarrow V_A$.

Example: Jordan algebras as GPT

- ▶ The V_A 's are Euclidean Jordan algebras.
- ▶ States and effects defined by no-restriction hypothesis.
- ▶ Transformations are positive unital $f : V_B \rightarrow V_A$.

This GPT has no inherent notion of composite system.

This might be one of the main reasons the universe is described by complex Hilbert spaces instead of EJAs.

Wigner's theorem in GPTs

Definition

A transformation $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ in a GPT is *reversible* if there is a transformation $\Psi : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}_B$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{id}_A$.

Wigner's theorem in GPTs

Definition

A transformation $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ in a GPT is *reversible* if there is a transformation $\Psi : B \rightarrow A$ such that $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}_B$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{id}_A$.

Proposition

In the 'quantum GPT' of completely-positive trace-preserving maps between systems of type $M_n(\mathbb{C})_{\text{sa}}$, a transformation $\Phi : M_n(\mathbb{C})_{\text{sa}} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})_{\text{sa}}$ is reversible iff there exists a unitary $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Phi(A) = UAU^\dagger$.

Some modern reconstructions of quantum theory

Next time...

- ▶ Lucien Hardy, 2001:
Quantum Theory From Five Reasonable Axioms.
- ▶ Chiribella, D'Ariano, Perinotti, 2011:
Informational derivation of quantum theory.
- ▶ Masanes & Müller, 2011:
A derivation of quantum theory from physical requirements.
- ▶ Barnum, Müller, Ududec, 2014: *Higher-order interference and single-system postulates characterizing quantum theory*

Summary of the lecture

- ▶ Ordered vector spaces and relation to quantum mechanics.
- ▶ GPTs as general framework for studying alternative theories.